Greedy bases in rank 2 quantum cluster algebras

Kyungyong Lee [*], **Li Li** [†], **Dylan Rupel** [‡], **and Andrei Zelevinsky** [‡]

[*]Department of Mathematics, Wayne State University, Detroit, MI 48202, U.S.A.,[†]Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Oakland University, Rochester, MI 48309, U.S.A., and [‡]Department of Mathematics, Northeastern University, Boston, MA 02115, U.S.A.

Submitted to Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America

arXiv:1405.2311v1 [math.QA] 9 May 2014

We identify a quantum lift of the greedy basis for rank 2 coefficient-free cluster algebras. Our main result is that our construction does not depend on the choice of initial cluster, that it builds all cluster monomials, and that it produces bar-invariant elements. We also present several conjectures related to this quantum greedy basis and the triangular basis of Berenstein and Zelevinsky [1].

quantum cluster algebras | quantum greedy basis | triangular basis

Cluster algebras were introduced by Fomin and Zelevinsky [2] as a combinatorial tool for understanding the canonical basis and positivity phenomena in the coordinate ring of an algebraic group. This was followed by the definition of quantum cluster algebras by Berenstein and Zelevinsky [3] as a similar combinatorial tool for understanding canonical bases of quantum groups.

In connection with these foundational goals, it is important to understand the various bases of cluster algebras and quantum cluster algebras. In this paper, we confirm the existence of a quantum greedy basis in rank 2 quantum cluster algebras. It is independent of the choice of an initial cluster, contain all cluster monomials, and specializes at $v = 1$ to the greedy basis of a classical rank 2 cluster algebra introduced by Lee, Li, and Zelevinsky [4].

Another important basis in an acyclic quantum cluster algebra is the triangular basis of Berenstein and Zelevinsky [1]. Like triangular bases, quantum greedy bases can be easily computed. This enables us to study and compare these bases computationally.

The structure of the paper is as follows. Section 1 begins with a recollection on rank 2 commutative cluster algebras. We recall the construction of greedy bases here and in the process we provide a new axiomatic description of the greedy basis. In Section 2 we review the definition of rank 2 quantum cluster algebras. In Section 3 we present our main result: the existence of a quantum lift of the greedy basis using the axiomatic description. Section 4 recalls the definition of the triangular basis and Section 5 provides an overview of results and open problems on the comparison between various bases of rank 2 quantum cluster algebras.

1. Rank 2 Cluster Algebras and Their Greedy Bases

Fix positive integers $b, c > 0$. The commutative cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}(b, c)$ is the $\mathbb{Z}$-subalgebra of $\mathbb{Q}(x_1, x_2)$ generated by the *cluster variables* $\{x_m\}_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}$, where the x_m are rational functions in x_1 and x_2 defined recursively by the *exchange relations*

$$x_{m+1}x_{m-1} = \begin{cases} x_m^b + 1 & \text{if } m \text{ is odd;} \\ x_m^c + 1 & \text{if } m \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

It is a fundamental result of Fomin and Zelevinsky [2] that, although the exchange relations appear to produce rational functions, one always obtains a Laurent polynomial whose denominator is simply a monomial in x_1 and x_2. They actually showed the following slightly stronger result.

Theorem 1. ([2, Theorem 3.1], Laurent Phenomenon) *For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $\mathcal{A}(b, c) \subset \mathbb{Z}[x_m^{\pm 1}, x_{m+1}^{\pm 1}]$.*

The cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}(b, c)$ is of *finite type* if the collection of all cluster variables is a finite set. Fomin and Zelevinsky [5] went on to classify cluster algebras of finite type.

Theorem 2. ([5, Theorem 1.4]) *The cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}(b, c)$ is of finite type if and only if $bc \leq 3$.*

The proof of this theorem in particular establishes a connection between denominator vectors of cluster variables and almost positive roots in a root system $\Phi \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$. Thus we say $\mathcal{A}(b, c)$ is of *affine* (resp. *wild*) *type* if $bc = 4$ (resp. $bc \geq 5$).

An element $x \in \bigcap_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[x_m^{\pm 1}, x_{m+1}^{\pm 1}]$ is called *universally Laurent* since the expansion of x in every cluster is Laurent. If the coefficients in the Laurent expansion of a nonzero element x in each cluster are positive integers, then x is called *universally positive*. A universally positive element in $\mathcal{A}(b, c)$ is said to be *indecomposable* if it cannot be expressed as a sum of two universally positive elements.

Sherman and Zelevinsky [6] studied in great detail the collection of indecomposable universally positive elements. One of their main results is the following: if the commutative cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}(b, c)$ is of finite or affine type, then the indecomposable universally positive elements form a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis in $\mathcal{A}(b, c)$, moreover this basis contains the set of all *cluster monomials* $\{x_m^{a_1} x_{m+1}^{a_2} : a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

However the situation in the wild-types becomes much more complicated. In particular, it was shown by Lee, Li, and Zelevinsky [7] that for $bc \geq 5$ the indecomposable universally positive elements of $\mathcal{A}(b, c)$ are not linearly independent.

The *greedy basis* of $\mathcal{A}(b, c)$ introduced by Lee, Li, and Zelevinsky [4] is a subset of the indecomposable universally positive elements which admits a beautiful combinatorial description. In this section we will describe a new axiomatic characterization of the greedy basis.

The elements of the greedy basis take on a particular form which is motivated by a well-known pattern in the initial cluster expansion of cluster monomials. An element $x \in \mathcal{A}(b, c)$ is *pointed* at $(a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ if it can be written in the form

$$x = x_1^{-a_1} x_2^{-a_2} \sum_{p,q\geq 0} e(p, q) x_1^{bp} x_2^{cq}$$

with $e(0, 0) = 1$ and $e(p, q) = e(a_1, a_2, p, q) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $p, q \geq 0$. It is well-known that $x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2}$ is the denominator of a cluster monomial if and only if $(a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \Phi_+^{im}$ (cf. [8, 9]), where Φ_+^{im} is the set of positive imaginary roots, i.e.

$$\Phi_+^{im} := \Big\{(a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^2 : ca_1^2 - bca_1a_2 + ba_2^2 \leq 0\Big\}.$$

Reserved for Publication Footnotes



Fig. 1: Support region of (quantum) greedy elements.

For $(a_1, a_2) \in \Phi_+^{im}$, define the region

$$R_{\text{greedy}} = \Big\{ (p,q) \in \mathbb{R}^2_{\geq 0} \,\Big|\, q + \Big(b - \frac{ba_2}{ca_1}\Big)p < a_1 \text{ or } p + \Big(c - \frac{ca_1}{ba_2}\Big)q < a_2 \Big\} \cup \Big\{(0,a_1),(a_2,0)\Big\}.$$

In other words, R_{greedy} is the region bounded by the broken line

$$(0,0),(a_2,0),(a_1/b,a_2/c),(0,a_1),(0,0),$$

with the convention that this region includes the closed segments $[(0,0),(a_2,0)]$ and $[(0,a_1),(0,0)]$ but excludes the rest of the boundary (see Fig. 1).

We can define greedy elements in two different ways, either by axioms or by recurrence relations. Here we choose to define them using recurrence relations as follows.

Theorem 3. ([4, Proposition 1.6]) *For each $(a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, there exists a unique element in $\mathcal{A}(b, c)$ pointed at (a_1, a_2) whose coefficients $e(p, q)$ satisfy the following recurrence relation:* $e(0,0) = 1$,

$e(p,q) =$

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{k=1}^{p} (-1)^{k-1} e(p-k,q) \binom{[a_2 - cq]_+ + k - 1}{k} & \text{if } ca_1 q \leq ba_2 p; \\ \sum_{\ell=1}^{q} (-1)^{\ell-1} e(p,q-\ell) \binom{[a_1 - bp]_+ + \ell - 1}{\ell} & \text{if } ca_1 q \geq ba_2 p, \end{cases}$$

where we use the standard notation $[a]_+ = \max(a, 0)$.

We define the *greedy element* pointed at (a_1, a_2), denoted $x[a_1, a_2]$, to be the unique element determined by Theorem 3.

For $(a_1, a_2) \in \Phi_+^{im}$, we give a new characterization for the greedy element.

Theorem 4. *For each $(a_1, a_2) \in \Phi_+^{im}$, the pointed coefficients $e(p, q)$ of the greedy element $x[a_1, a_2]$ are uniquely determined by the following two axioms:*

- *(Support) $e(p, q) = 0$ for $(p, q) \notin R_{greedy}$;*
- *(Divisibility)*
  - *if $a_2 > cq$, then $(1+t)^{a_2 - cq} \Big| \sum_i e(i, q) t^i$;*
  - *if $a_1 > bp$, then $(1+t)^{a_1 - bp} \Big| \sum_i e(p, i) t^i$.*

The key step in the proof of Theorem 4 is based on an observation made in [4, Section 2]: the first (resp. second) recurrence relation in Theorem 3 is equivalent to the vanishing of the (p, q)-th coefficient of the Laurent expansion of $x[a_1, a_2]$ with respect to the cluster $\{x_0, x_1\}$ (resp. $\{x_2, x_3\}$). It is straightforward to check that such vanishing implies the Support and Divisibility axioms in Theorem 4.

The following theorem summarizes the results from [4].

Theorem 5. ([4, Theorem 1.7])

(a) *The greedy elements $x[a_1, a_2]$ for $(a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ form a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis in $\mathcal{A}(b, c)$, which we refer to as the* greedy basis.

(b) *The greedy basis is independent of the choice of an initial cluster.*

(c) *The greedy basis contains all cluster monomials.*

(d) *Greedy elements are universally positive and indecomposable.*

Our goal in this work is to generalize the above theorem to the setting of rank 2 quantum cluster algebras. The proof of Theorem 5 given in [4] uses combinatorial objects called *compatible pairs* in an essential way (cf. [4, Theorem 1.11]). Unfortunately this method has difficulties/limitations in generalizing to the study of quantum cluster algebras. More precisely, if one could simply assign a power of v to each compatible pair then the quantum greedy elements would have again been universally positive, which is unfortunately false in general (see the example at the end of Section 3). This motivates our new approach to Theorem 5, with the exception of (d), which can easily be generalized to the quantum setting.

2. Rank 2 Quantum Cluster Algebras

In this section we define our main objects of study, namely quantum cluster algebras, and recall important fundamental facts related to these algebras. We restrict attention to rank 2 quantum cluster algebras where we can describe the setup in very concrete terms. We follow (as much as possible) the notation and conventions of [1, 4].

Consider the following quantum torus

$$\mathcal{T} = \mathbb{Z}[v^{\pm 1}]\langle X_1^{\pm 1}, X_2^{\pm 1} : X_2 X_1 = v^2 X_1 X_2 \rangle$$

(this setup is related to the one in [10] which uses the formal variable q instead of v by setting $q = v^{-2}$). There are many choices for quantizing cluster algebras, to rigidify the situation we require the quantum cluster algebra to be invariant under a certain involution. The *bar-involution* is the $\mathbb{Z}$-linear antiautomorphism of $\mathcal{T}$ determined by $\overline{f}(v) = f(v^{-1})$ for $f \in \mathbb{Z}[v^{\pm 1}]$ and

$$\overline{f X_1^{a_1} X_2^{a_2}} = \overline{f} X_2^{a_2} X_1^{a_1} = v^{2a_1 a_2} \overline{f} X_1^{a_1} X_2^{a_2} \quad (a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

An element which is invariant under the bar-involution is said to be *bar-invariant*.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the skew-field of fractions of $\mathcal{T}$. The *quantum cluster algebra* $\mathcal{A}_v(b, c)$ is the $\mathbb{Z}[v^{\pm 1}]$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{F}$ generated by the *quantum cluster variables* $\{X_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$ defined recursively from the *quantum exchange relations*

$$X_{m+1} X_{m-1} = \begin{cases} v^b X_m^b + 1 & \text{if } m \text{ is odd;} \\ v^c X_m^c + 1 & \text{if } m \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

By a simple induction one can easily check the following (quasi-)commutation relations between neighboring cluster variables X_m, X_{m+1} in $\mathcal{A}_v(b, c)$:

$$X_{m+1} X_m = v^2 X_m X_{m+1} \quad (m \in \mathbb{Z}). \qquad [\mathbf{1}]$$

It then follows that all cluster variables are bar-invariant, therefore $\mathcal{A}_v(b, c)$ is also stable under the bar-involution. Moreover, equation [**1**] implies that each *cluster* $\{X_m, X_{m+1}\}$ generates a quantum torus

$$\mathcal{T}_m = \mathbb{Z}[v^{\pm 1}]\langle X_m^{\pm 1}, X_{m+1}^{\pm 1} : X_{m+1} X_m = v^2 X_m X_{m+1} \rangle.$$

It is easy to see that the bar-involution does not depend on the choice of an initial quantum torus $\mathcal{T}_m$.

The appropriate quantum analogues of cluster monomials for $\mathcal{A}_v(b,c)$ are the (bar-invariant) *quantum cluster monomials* which are certain elements of a quantum torus $\mathcal{T}_m$, more precisely they are

$$X_m^{(a_1,a_2)} = v^{a_1 a_2} X_m^{a_1} X_{m+1}^{a_2} \quad (a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},\ m \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

The following quantum analogue of the Laurent Phenomenon was proven by Berenstein and Zelevinsky [3].

Theorem 6. ([3, Corollary 5.2]) *For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $\mathcal{A}_v(b,c) \subset \mathcal{T}_m$. Moreover,*

$$\mathcal{A}_v(b,c) = \bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{T}_m.$$

A nonzero element of $\mathcal{A}_v(b,c)$ is called *universally positive* if it lies in $\bigcap_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[v^{\pm 1}][X_m^{\pm 1}, X_{m+1}^{\pm 1}]$. A universally positive element in $\mathcal{A}_v(b,c)$ is *indecomposable* if it cannot be expressed as a sum of two universally positive elements.

It is known as a very special case of the results of [11, 12, 13, 14, 15] that cluster monomials are universally positive Laurent polynomials. Explicit combinatorial expressions for these positive coefficients can be obtained from the results of [16, 17, 18].

3. Quantum Greedy Bases

This section contains the main result of the paper. Here we introduce the quantum greedy basis and present its nice properties.

Analogous to the construction of greedy elements, the elements of the quantum greedy basis take on the following particular form. An element $X \in \mathcal{A}_v(b,c)$ is said to be *pointed* at $(a_1,a_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ if it has the form

$$X = \sum_{p,q \geq 0} e(p,q) X_1^{(bp - a_1, cq - a_2)}$$

with $e(0,0) = 1$ and $e(p,q) \in \mathbb{Z}[v^{\pm 1}]$ for all p and q.

The next theorem is an analogue of Theorem 3, to state our result precisely we need more notation. Let w denote a formal invertible variable. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ define the bar-invariant quantum numbers and bar-invariant quantum binomial coefficients by

$$[n]_w = \frac{w^n - w^{-n}}{w - w^{-1}} = w^{n-1} + w^{n-3} + \cdots + w^{-n+1};$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_w = \frac{[n]_w [n-1]_w \cdots [n-k+1]_w}{[k]_w [k-1]_w \cdots [1]_w}.$$

Recall that $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_w$ will always be a Laurent polynomial in w. Hence taking $w = v^b$ or v^c we obtain Laurent polynomials in v as well.

Theorem 7. *For each $(a_1,a_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, there exists a unique element in $\mathcal{A}_v(b,c)$ pointed at (a_1,a_2) whose coefficients $e(p,q)$ satisfy the following recurrence relation: $e(0,0) = 1$,*

$e(p,q) =$

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{k=1}^{p} (-1)^{k-1} e(p-k,q) \begin{bmatrix} [a_2 - cq]_+ + k - 1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{v^b} & \text{if } ca_1 q \leq ba_2 p; \\ \sum_{\ell=1}^{q} (-1)^{\ell-1} e(p,q-\ell) \begin{bmatrix} [a_1 - bp]_+ + \ell - 1 \\ \ell \end{bmatrix}_{v^c} & \text{if } ca_1 q \geq ba_2 p. \end{cases}$$

We define the *quantum greedy element* pointed at (a_1,a_2), denoted $X[a_1,a_2]$, to be the unique element determined by Theorem 7.

The following theorem is analogous to Theorem 4.

Theorem 8. *For each $(a_1,a_2) \in \Phi_+^{im}$, the pointed coefficients $e(p,q)$ of $X[a_1,a_2]$ are uniquely determined by the following two axioms:*

- *(Support) $e(p,q) = 0$ for $(p,q) \notin R_{greedy}$;*
- *(Divisibility) Let t denote a formal variable which commutes with v. Then*
  - $\prod_{j=1}^{a_2 - cq} \left(1 + v^{b(a_2 - cq + 1 - 2j)} t\right) \Big| \sum_i e(i,q) t^i$;
  - $\prod_{j=1}^{a_1 - bp} \left(1 + v^{c(a_1 - bp + 1 - 2j)} t\right) \Big| \sum_i e(p,i) t^i$.

Theorem 8 follows from an argument similar to the proof of Theorem 4.

Our main theorem below states that quantum greedy elements possess all the desired properties described in Theorem 5 except the positivity (d).

Theorem 9.

(a) *The quantum greedy elements $X[a_1,a_2]$ for $(a_1,a_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ form a $\mathbb{Z}[v^{\pm 1}]$-basis in $\mathcal{A}_v(b,c)$, which we refer to as the* quantum greedy basis.

(b) *The quantum greedy basis is bar-invariant and independent of the choice of an initial cluster.*

(c) *The quantum greedy basis contains all cluster monomials.*

(d) *If $X[a_1,a_2]$ is universally positive, then it is indecomposable.*

(e) *The quantum greedy basis specializes to the commutative greedy basis by the substitution $v = 1$.*

Full proofs of Theorems 7, 8 and 9 will appear elsewhere. The hardest part is to show the existence of quantum greedy elements, i.e. that the recursions in Theorem 7 terminate. The main idea in the proof is to realize $X[a_1,a_2]$ as a degeneration of certain elements in $\mathcal{A}_v(b,c)$ whose supports are contained in the closure of R_{greedy}.

We end this section with an example where positivity of quantum greedy elements fails. Let $(b,c) = (2,3)$ and consider the pointed coefficient $e(2,1)$ in the Laurent expansion of $X[3,4]$. Using Theorem 7, we see that $e(2,1)$ is not in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[v^{\pm 1}]$:

$$\begin{aligned} e(2,1) &= e(1,1) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_{v^2} - e(0,1) \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}_{v^2} \\ &= e(1,1) - e(0,1) \\ &= e(1,0) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_{v^3} - e(0,1) \\ &= e(0,0) \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_{v^2} - e(0,0) \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_{v^3} \\ &= (v^6 + v^2 + v^{-2} + v^{-6}) - (v^6 + 1 + v^{-6}) \\ &= v^2 - 1 + v^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Further computation shows that the greedy elements $X[3,5]$, $X[5,4]$, $X[5,7]$, $X[5,8]$, $X[7,5]$, $X[7,10]$, $X[7,11]$, etc. are not positive. Similarly, positivity can be seen to fail for certain quantum greedy elements when $(b,c) = (2,5)$, $(3,4)$, $(4,6)$.

4. Triangular Bases

The construction of the triangular basis begins with the standard monomial basis. For every $(a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, we define the *standard monomial* $M[a_1, a_2]$ (which is denoted $E_{(-a_1,-a_2)}$ in [1]) by setting

$$M[a_1, a_2] = v^{a_1 a_2} X_3^{[a_1]_+} X_1^{[-a_1]_+} X_2^{[-a_2]_+} X_0^{[a_2]_+} \ . \qquad [2]$$

It is known [3] that the elements $M[a_1, a_2]$ form a $\mathbb{Z}[v^{\pm 1}]$-basis of $\mathcal{A}_v(b, c)$.

The importance of this basis comes from its computability, however this basis will not serve in our goals of understanding quantum cluster algebras. Indeed, it is easy to see that the standard monomials are not bar-invariant and do not contain all the cluster monomials, moreover they are inherently dependent on the choice of an initial cluster. These drawbacks provide a motivation to consider the triangular basis (as defined below) constructed from the standard monomial basis with a built-in bar-invariance property.

As the name suggests the triangular basis is defined by a triangularity property relating it to the standard monomial basis. To describe this triangular relationship we introduce the "v-positive" lattice $\mathcal{L} = \bigoplus v\mathbb{Z}[v]M[a_1, a_2]$ where the summation runs over all $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. We now define a new basis $\left\{C[a_1, a_2] : (a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2\right\}$ by specifying how it relates to the standard monomial basis:

(P1) Each $C[a_1, a_2]$ is bar-invariant.
(P2) For each $(a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, we have

$$C[a_1, a_2] - M[a_1, a_2] \in \mathcal{L} \ .$$

Using the universal acyclicity of rank 2 quantum cluster algebras, we apply the following theorem.

Theorem 10. ([1, Theorem 1.6]) *The triangular basis does not depend on the choice of initial cluster and it contains all cluster monomials.*

Similar to the support condition for (quantum) greedy elements, we make the following conjecture about the supports of triangular elements.

Conjecture 11. *Let $(a_1, a_2) \in \Phi_+^{im}$. For $0 \le p \le a_2$, $0 \le q \le a_1$, the pointed coefficient $e(p, q)$ of $X^{(bp-a_1, cq-a_2)}$ in $C[a_1, a_2]$ is nonzero if and only if*

$$bp^2 + bcpq + cq^2 \le ca_1 q + ba_2 p.$$



Fig. 2: The conjectured support region of triangular basis elements is the closed region OAC with a curved edge AC, where $O = (0, 0)$, $A = (a_2, 0)$, $C = (0, a_1)$. The support region of the (quantum or non-quantum) greedy element pointed at (a_1, a_2) is the polygon $OABC$, where $B = (a_1/b, a_2/c)$. Note that the line $\overline{BA}$ (resp. $\overline{BC}$) is tangent to the curved edge AC at point A (resp. C).

5. Open Problems

In this section we present open problems and conjectures on the triangular basis and quantum greedy basis of a rank 2 quantum cluster algebra. Our aim is to find $\mathbb{Z}[v^{\pm 1}]$-bases of $\mathcal{A}_v(b, c)$ satisfying the properties laid out in the following definition.

A basis $\mathcal{B}$ for $\mathcal{A}_v(b, c)$ is said to be *strongly positive* if the following hold:

(1) each element of $\mathcal{B}$ is bar-invariant;
(2) $\mathcal{B}$ is independent of the choice of an initial cluster;
(3) $\mathcal{B}$ contains all cluster monomials;
(4) any product of elements from $\mathcal{B}$ can be expanded as a linear combination of elements of $\mathcal{B}$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}[v^{\pm 1}]$.

Note that all elements in a strongly positive basis are universally positive. Indeed, consider multiplying a basis element $B \in \mathcal{B}$ by a cluster monomial $X_1^{(a_1, a_2)}$ with a_1, a_2 sufficiently large to clear the denominator in the initial cluster expansion of B. By properties (3) and (4) of a strongly positive basis the product $BX_1^{(a_1, a_2)}$ is in $\mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}[v^{\pm 1}][X_1, X_2]$ and thus we see that a strongly positive basis element has non-negative coefficients in its initial cluster expansion. It then follows that $\mathcal{B}$ is universally positive because it is independent of the choice of an initial cluster.

Kimura and Qin [15] showed the existence of bases for acyclic skew-symmetric quantum cluster algebras (hence for $\mathcal{A}_v(b, c)$ with $b = c$) which satisfy (1), (3), (4). In the rank 2 case, their bases are exactly the triangular bases, hence satisfy (2) as well (see [1, Remark 1.8]).

Conjecture 12. *Given any strongly positive basis $\mathcal{B}$ there exists a unique basis element pointed at (a_1, a_2) for each $(a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$.*

It is easy to see that property (3) of strongly positive bases together with Conjecture 12 imply that every element of $\mathcal{B}$ is pointed. We note that the conclusion of Conjecture 12 holds for both the quantum greedy basis and the triangular basis.

In what follows, we write $Y \le Z$ for $Y, Z \in \mathcal{A}_v(b, c)$ if $Z - Y$ is a Laurent polynomial with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}[v^{\pm 1}]$.

Conjecture 13.
(a) *There exists a unique strongly positive basis $\mathcal{B}^{upper}$ satisfying*

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \textit{the basis element in} \\ \mathcal{B} \textit{ pointed at } (a_1, a_2) \end{array} \right) \le \left(\begin{array}{c} \textit{the basis element in} \\ \mathcal{B}^{upper} \textit{ pointed at } (a_1, a_2) \end{array} \right)$$

for every strongly positive basis $\mathcal{B}$ and every $(a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$.
(b) *The triangular basis is $\mathcal{B}^{upper}$.*

Conjecture 14. *Suppose that $b|c$ or $c|b$.*
(a) *There exists a unique strongly positive basis $\mathcal{B}_{lower}$ satisfying*

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \textit{the basis element in} \\ \mathcal{B}_{lower} \textit{ pointed at } (a_1, a_2) \end{array} \right) \le \left(\begin{array}{c} \textit{the basis element in} \\ \mathcal{B} \textit{ pointed at } (a_1, a_2) \end{array} \right)$$

for every strongly positive basis $\mathcal{B}$ and every $(a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$.
(b) *The quantum greedy basis is $\mathcal{B}_{lower}$.*

In contrast to the example at the end of Section 3, we do not observe a failure of positivity when $(b, c) = (1, 5)$, $(1, 6)$, $(2, 4)$, $(2, 6)$, $(3, 3)$, $(3, 6)$. This provides the motivation for assuming the condition '$b|c$ or $c|b$' in Conjecture 14.

Conjectures 12, 13 and 14 are trivially true for for finite types ($bc < 4$), and are also known for affine types ($bc = 4$) [19, 20].

Next we study the expansion coefficients relating the various bases. Let us begin by introducing notation. For $(a_1, a_2), (a_1', a_2') \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ we define expansion coefficients $q_{a_1',a_2'}^{a_1,a_2}, r_{a_1',a_2'}^{a_1,a_2} \in \mathbb{Z}[v^{\pm 1}]$ as follows:

$$X[a_1, a_2] = M[a_1, a_2] + \sum_{(a_1',a_2')<(a_1,a_2)} q_{a_1',a_2'}^{a_1,a_2} M[a_1', a_2']; \quad [\mathbf{3}]$$

$$C[a_1, a_2] = X[a_1, a_2] + \sum_{(a_1',a_2')<(a_1,a_2)} r_{a_1',a_2'}^{a_1,a_2} X[a_1', a_2'], \quad [\mathbf{4}]$$

where we write $(a_1', a_2') < (a_1, a_2)$ when $a_1' < a_1$ and $a_2' < a_2$.

We now derive a recursion for the expansion coefficients in equation [**4**] relating the triangular basis to the quantum greedy basis. Our main ingredients will be the defining properties (P1) and (P2) of the triangular basis. Note that since each $X[a_1, a_2]$ is bar-invariant, we have $\overline{r_{a_1',a_2'}^{a_1,a_2}} = r_{a_1',a_2'}^{a_1,a_2}$ by (P1) .

For $f \in \mathbb{Z}[v^{\pm 1}]$ let $[f]_{\leq 0}$ denote the non-positive part of f, i.e. in f we evaluate $v = 0$ in all terms for which this makes sense. With this notation, we note that since $r_{a_1',a_2'}^{a_1,a_2}$ is bar-invariant, it is determined by $[r_{a_1',a_2'}^{a_1,a_2}]_{\leq 0}$.

Proposition 15.

$$\left[r_{a_1'',a_2''}^{a_1,a_2}\right]_{\leq 0} = -\sum_{(a_1',a_2')>(a_1'',a_2'')} \left[r_{a_1',a_2'}^{a_1,a_2} q_{a_1'',a_2''}^{a_1',a_2'}\right]_{\leq 0}. \quad [\mathbf{5}]$$

This says that we have an easy recursive way to compute the decomposition in equation [**4**] if we know the decomposition in equation [**3**].

This proposition can be proved by reducing equation [**3**] mod $\mathcal{L}$ to get

$$r_{a_1',a_2'}^{a_1,a_2} X[a_1', a_2'] \equiv \left[r_{a_1',a_2'}^{a_1,a_2}\right]_{\leq 0} M[a_1', a_2'] + \sum_{(a_1'',a_2'')<(a_1',a_2')} \left[r_{a_1',a_2'}^{a_1,a_2} q_{a_1'',a_2''}^{a_1',a_2'}\right]_{\leq 0} M[a_1'', a_2''] \mod \mathcal{L}. \quad [\mathbf{6}]$$

Keeping in mind (P2), we reduce the equality [**4**] mod $\mathcal{L}$ and apply equation [**6**] to arrive at the desired recursion.

Based on extensive computations using Proposition 15 we make the following positivity conjecture.

Conjecture 16. *For any $(a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ the expansion coefficients of the triangular basis element $C[a_1, a_2]$ in terms of the quantum greedy basis are positive; more precisely, we have*

$$r_{a_1',a_2'}^{a_1,a_2} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[v^{\pm 1}] \quad \textit{for all} \quad (a_1', a_2') \in \mathbb{Z}^2.$$

More generally, the expansion coefficients of any strongly positive basis in terms of the quantum greedy basis are positive.

We end this article by summarizing the aforementioned proven or conjectured properties of the quantum greedy basis and the triangular basis.

	quantum greedy basis
bar-invariant	by Proposition 7
independent of initial cluster	new result
contains all cluster monomials	new result
positive expansion of products	conjectured
consists of indecomposables	true if universally positive
each element is pointed	by definition
support for $(a_1, a_2) \in \Phi_+^{im}$	Figure 1
bound of strongly positive bases	conjectured

Table 1. Properties of the quantum greedy basis for $b|c$ or $c|b$

	triangular basis
bar-invariant	by definition
independent of initial cluster	proved in [1]
contains all cluster monomials	proved in [1]
positive expansion of products	conjectured (proved for $b = c$ in [15])
consists of indecomposables	false for $bc \geq 4$
each element is pointed	by definition
support for $(a_1, a_2) \in \Phi_+^{im}$	conjectured, see Figure 2
bound of strongly positive bases	conjectured

Table 2. Properties of the triangular basis

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. Most of the ideas toward this work from D. R. and A. Z. were had during their stay at the Mathematical Sciences Research Institute as part of the Cluster Algebras Program. They would like to thank the MSRI for their hospitality and support. Research supported in part by NSF grants DMS-0901367 (K. L.) and DMS-1103813 (A. Z.), and by Oakland University URC Faculty Research Fellowship Award (L. L.). The authors would like to thank F. Qin for valuable dicussions. They would also like to thank S. Fomin for many constructive suggestions.

1. **A. Berenstein and A. Zelevinsky, Triangular bases in quantum cluster algebras,** *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, **rns268, 38 pages. doi:10.1093/imrn /rns268.**
2. **S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky, Cluster algebras I: Foundations,** *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **15 (2002), no. 2, pp. 497–529.**
3. **A. Berenstein and A. Zelevinsky, Quantum cluster algebras.** *Adv. Math.* **195 (2005), no. 2, pp. 405–455.**
4. **K. Lee, L. Li, and A. Zelevinsky, Greedy elements in rank 2 cluster algebras,** *Selecta Mathematica*, **to appear.**
5. **S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky, Cluster algebras II: Finite type classification,** *Invent. Math.* **154 (2003), no. 1, pp. 63–121.**
6. **P. Sherman and A. Zelevinsky, Positivity and Canonical Bases in Rank 2 Cluster Algebras of Finite and Affine Types,** *Mosc. Math. J.* **4 (2004), no. 4, 947–974. MR2124174 (2006c:16052).**
7. **K. Lee, L. Li and A. Zelevinsky, Positivity and tameness in rank 2 cluster algebras, arXiv:1303.5806.**
8. **P. Caldero, F. Chapoton, Cluster algebras as Hall algebras of quiver representations,** *Comment. Math. Helv.* **81 (2006), no. 3, 595–616.**
9. **P. Caldero, B. Keller, From triangulated categories to cluster algebras. II,** *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* **(4) 39 (2006), no. 6, 983–1009.**
10. **D. Rupel, On a Quantum Analog of the Caldero-Chapoton Formula,** *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, **2011, no. 14, pp. 3207–3236 (doi:10.1093/imrn/rnq192).**
11. **F. Qin and B. Keller, Quantum cluster variables via Serre polynomials,** *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **668 (2012), 149–190. MR2948875.**
12. **A. Efimov, Quantum cluster variables via vanishing cycles, arXiv:1112.3601.**
13. **B. Davison, D. Maulik, J. Schürmann and B. Szendrői, Purity for graded potentials and quantum cluster positivity, arXiv:1307.3379.**
14. **H. Nakajima, Quiver varieties and cluster algebras,** *Kyoto J. Math.* **51 (2011), no. 1, 71–126. MR2784748 (2012f:13053).**
15. **Y. Kimura and F. Qin, Graded quiver varieties, quantum cluster algebras and dual canonical basis, arXiv:1205.2066v2.**
16. **K. Lee and R. Schiffler, Proof of a positivity conjecture of M. Kontsevich on non-commutative cluster variables.** *Compos. Math.* **148 (2012), no. 6, pp. 1821–1832.**
17. **D. Rupel, Proof of the Kontsevich non-commutative cluster positivity conjecture,** *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **350 (2012), no. 21-22, pp. 929–932.**
18. **K. Lee and L. Li, On natural maps from strata of quiver Grassmannians to ordinary Grassmannians,** *Noncommutative Birational Geometry, Representations and Combinatorics, A.M.S. Contemporary Math.*, **592 (2013).**
19. **X. Chen, M. Ding, J. Sheng, Bar-invariant bases of the quantum cluster algebra of type $A_2^{(2)}$,** *Czechoslovak Math. J.* **61(136) (2011), no. 4, 1077–1090.**
20. **M. Ding and F. Xu, Bases of the quantum cluster algebra of the Kronecker quiver.** *Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)* **28 (2012), no. 6, 1169–1178.**